



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

[March,

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Witnesses, Cent. Dig. § 1008; Dec. Dig. § 292.* 13 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 974.]

2. Witnesses (§ 297*)—Privileges—Privilege against Incrimination.—Where a grand jury, considering the question of indicting a witness and others for setting up lotteries, summoned the witness to testify as a witness for the commonwealth in the case of one of the persons, the witness, while acting in good faith to protect himself from indictment, could refuse to testify.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Witnesses, Cent. Dig. § 1026; Dec. Dig. § 297.* 13 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 974.]

Error to Circuit Court, Alexandria County.

W. D. Edmonston was convicted for contempt of court, and he brings error. Reversed, and contempt proceedings dismissed.

S. G. Brent and Moncure & Tebbs, for plaintiff in error.
The Attorney General, for the Commonwealth.

LILLY v. COMMONWEALTH.

Nov. 26, 1909.

[66 S. E. 225.]

Error to Circuit Court, Alexandria County.

Charles Lilly was convicted of contempt of court, and he brings error. Reversed, and contempt proceedings dismissed.

S. G. Brent and Moncure & Tebbs, for plaintiff in error.
The Attorney General, for the Commonwealth.

HUGHES et al. v. PEEBLES et al.

Nov. 18, 1909.

[66 S. E. 723.]

Counties (§ 74*)—Officers—Compensation—County Treasurer.—Acts 1878-79, p. 323, c. 60, § 15, was substantially incorporated in Code 1887, § 614 (Code 1904, p. 304), which allowed the county treasurer for his services in receiving and disbursing the county and school levies, including all moneys collected by the order of the county authorities for any purpose, the same rate of compensation allowed for receiving and paying over revenues. An amendment thereto, incorporated in Code 1904, as section 1515, provides that in computing commissions for collecting and disbursing all sums levied for county, school, and district purposes the amounts shall be treated as one sum, and not be divided for the purpose of computing the treasurers' commission. Prior to the amendment of 1904, by the almost universal practice of

*For other cases see same topic and section NUMBER in Dec. & Am. Digs. 1907 to date, & Reporter Indexes.